



# **Communications in Algebra**

ISSN: 0092-7872 (Print) 1532-4125 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lagb20

# Certain congruences on free trioids

Anatolii V. Zhuchok, Yuliia V. Zhuchok & Yurii V. Zhuchok

To cite this article: Anatolii V. Zhuchok, Yuliia V. Zhuchok & Yurii V. Zhuchok (2019) Certain congruences on free trioids, Communications in Algebra, 47:12, 5471-5481, DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2019.1631322

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2019.1631322



Published online: 05 Jul 2019.



Submit your article to this journal 🕑

Article views: 23



View related articles



🕖 View Crossmark data 🗹



Check for updates

# Certain congruences on free trioids

Anatolii V. Zhuchok, Yuliia V. Zhuchok, and Yurii V. Zhuchok

Department of Algebra and System Analysis, Luhansk Taras Shevchenko National University, Starobilsk, Ukraine

#### ABSTRACT

Loday and Ronco introduced the notion of a trioid and constructed the free trioid of rank 1. This paper is devoted to the study of congruences on trioids. We characterize the least dimonoid congruences and the least semigroup congruence on the free (commutative, rectangular) trioid.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 3 May 2019 Revised 9 May 2019 Communicated by V. A. Artamonov

#### **KEYWORDS**

Congruence; dimonoid; free commutative trioid; free rectangular trioid; free trioid; semigroup; trioid

### 2000 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

MSC 08B20; 20M10; 20M50; 17A30; 17D99

# 1. Introduction

Loday and Ronco introduced the notion of a trioid [9]. A trioid is a nonempty set T equipped with three binary associative operations  $\neg$ ,  $\vdash$ , and  $\bot$  satisfying the following axioms:

 $(x \dashv y) \dashv z = x \dashv (y \vdash z), \tag{T1}$ 

$$(x \vdash y) \dashv z = x \vdash (y \dashv z), \tag{T2}$$

$$x \dashv y) \vdash z = x \vdash (y \vdash z), \tag{T3}$$

$$(x \dashv y) \dashv z = x \dashv (y \bot z), \tag{T4}$$

$$(x \perp y) \dashv z = x \perp (y \dashv z), \tag{T5}$$

$$(x \dashv y) \bot z = x \bot (y \vdash z), \tag{T6}$$

$$(x \vdash y) \bot z = x \vdash (y \bot z), \tag{T7}$$

$$(x \perp y) \vdash z = x \vdash (y \vdash z) \tag{T8}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in T$ . Originally, trioids arose in algebraic topology. This notion has applications in trialgebra theory [1, 3–5, 9, 11] and lately it was actively studied. Trioid theory has connections to dialgebra theory [2, 6–8, 10] and dimonoid theory [12, 14, 16, 17, 20]. The system of axioms of a trioid includes some axioms of a doppelsemigroup [15, 19]. Some examples of trioids can be found in Section 2 and [18, 21, 22]. For further details, see [9, 13, 18].

The variety theory of trioids was developed in [9, 13, 18, 21, 22]. In these works, free trioids of rank 1 [9], free trioids of an arbitrary rank [18], free *n*-nilpotent trioids [21], free rectangular

CONTACT Anatolii V. Zhuchok 🐼 zhuchok.av@gmail.com 🝙 Department of Algebra and System Analysis, Luhansk Taras Shevchenko National University, Gogol square, 1, Starobilsk, 92703, Ukraine. © 2019 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

tribands [22] and free commutative trioids [13] were constructed. For some mentioned free algebras, certain least congruences were characterized. Endomorphisms of free trioids were investigated in [23, 24].

The current paper continues researches from [13, 18, 21, 22]. Its purpose is to study some least congruences on free trioids.

In Section 2, new classes of trioids are constructed.

In Section 3, we characterize the least dimonoid congruences and the least semigroup congruence on the free commutative trioid (Theorem 3.3).

Descriptions of the least dimonoid congruences and the least semigroup congruence on the free trioid (Theorem 4.1) are the topics of Section 4.

In the final section, the least dimonoid congruences and the least semigroup congruence on the free rectangular triband are presented (Theorem 5.3).

# 2. Classes of trioids

In this section, we give new classes of trioids.

Let X be an alphabet and F[X] the free semigroup on X. For all  $h = (p,q) \in X \times X$ , assume  $[h] = pq \in F[X]$ . For every  $* \in \{ \neg, \vdash, \bot \}$  let

$$\gamma_*: X \times X \to (X \times X) \cup \left\{ a_1 a_2 \in F[X] | a_1, a_2 \in X \right\} : (p, q) \mapsto (p, q) \gamma_*$$

be an arbitrary map such that  $(p,q)\gamma_* = pq \in F[X]$  or  $(p,q)\gamma_* = (p,q) \in X \times X$ . Define operations  $\neg, \vdash$ , and  $\bot$  on  $F[X] \cup (X \times X)$  by

$$a_1...a_m * b_1...b_k = a_1...a_m b_1...b_k,$$
  
 $w * h = w[h], \quad h * w = [h]w, \quad h * f = [h][f],$   
 $p \dashv q = (p,q)\gamma_{\dashv}, \quad p \vdash q = (p,q)\gamma_{\vdash}, \quad p \bot q = (p,q)\gamma_{\perp}$ 

for all  $a_1...a_m, b_1...b_k \in F[X]$  such that mk > 1,  $w \in F[X], h, f \in X \times X, p, q \in X$  and  $* \in \{ \dashv, \vdash, \bot \}$ . The algebra  $(F[X] \cup (X \times X), \dashv, \vdash, \bot)$  will be denoted by  $X[\gamma_*]$ .

**Proposition 2.1.**  $X[\gamma_*]$  is a trioid.

*Proof.* An immediate verification shows that the axioms of a trioid hold concerning operations  $\dashv$ ,  $\vdash$ ,  $\perp$  and thus,  $X[\gamma_*]$  is a trioid.

Recall that a dimonoid [8] is a nonempty set T equipped with two binary associative operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  satisfying the axioms (T1)-(T3). Note that trioids, dimonoids and semigroups are naturally related: if two operations  $\dashv$  and  $\bot$  or  $\vdash$  and  $\bot$  of a trioid coincide, we obtain the notion of a dimonoid; if all operations of a trioid coincide, we obtain the notion of a semigroup. For extensive information on dimonoids, see [12, 17, 20].

**Remark 2.2.** (i) If  $\gamma_{\dashv}, \gamma_{\vdash}$ , and  $\gamma_{\perp}$  are pairwise distinct, then operations of  $X[\gamma_*]$  are pairwise distinct.

(ii) If  $\gamma_{\dashv} = \gamma_{\vdash} = \gamma_{\perp}$ , then operations of  $X[\gamma_*]$  coincide and it is a semigroup. (iii) If  $\gamma_{\vdash} = \gamma_{\perp}$ , then operations  $\vdash$  and  $\perp$  of  $X[\gamma_*]$  coincide and it is a dimonoid. (iv) If  $\gamma_{\dashv} = \gamma_{\perp}$ , then operations  $\dashv$  and  $\perp$  of  $X[\gamma_*]$  coincide and it is a dimonoid. (v) If  $\gamma_{\dashv} = \gamma_{\vdash}$ , then operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  of  $X[\gamma_*]$  coincide and it is a dimonoid.

A semigroup S is a rectangular band if xyx = x for all  $x, y \in S$ . A trioid  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)$  is called a rectangular trioid or a rectangular triband [22] if  $(T, \dashv), (T, \vdash)$  and  $(T, \bot)$  are rectangular bands. A dimonoid  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$  is called a rectangular dimonoid or a rectangular diband [17] if both semigroups  $(D, \dashv)$  and  $(D, \vdash)$  are rectangular bands. Free rectangular dimonoids were given in [12, 17].

As usual,  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers. Let  $I_n = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , n > 1, and let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I_n}$  be a family of arbitrary nonempty sets  $X_i$ ,  $i \in I_n$ . Define operations  $\dashv, \vdash$ , and  $\perp$  on  $\prod_{i \in I_{2k+1}} X_i$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , by

$$\begin{array}{l} (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2k+1}) \dashv (y_1, y_2, ..., y_{2k+1}) = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2k}, y_{2k+1}), \\ (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2k+1}) \vdash (y_1, y_2, ..., y_{2k+1}) = (x_1, y_2, ..., y_{2k+1}), \\ (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2k+1}) \bot (y_1, y_2, ..., y_{2k+1}) = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, y_{k+1}, ..., y_{2k+1}) \end{array}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2k+1}), (y_1, y_2, ..., y_{2k+1}) \in \prod_{i \in I_{2k+1}} X_i$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\prod_{i \in I_{2k+1}} X_i, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)$  is a rectangular trioid. *Proof.* The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 4 [22].

**Remark 2.4.** Operations  $\vdash$  and  $\perp$  of a rectangular trioid  $(\prod_{i \in I_3} X_i, \neg, \vdash, \bot)$  coincide and it is a rectangular dimonoid. If  $X_i = X$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then operations  $\vdash$  and  $\perp$  of  $(\prod_{i \in I_3} X_i, \neg, \vdash, \bot)$  coincide and it is the free rectangular dimonoid.

Note that in [22] a similar trioid was constructed on the set  $\prod_{i \in I_{2k}} X_i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 3. The least dimonoid congruences on the free commutative trioid

In this section, we characterize the least dimonoid congruences and the least semigroup congruence on the free commutative trioid.

Recall the construction of the free commutative trioid [13].

Let X be an arbitrary nonempty set,  $F^*[X]$  the free commutative semigroup on X,  $\Omega$  the free monoid on the three-element set  $\{a, b, c\}$ , and  $\theta \in \Omega$  the empty word. Let further  $\omega$  be an arbitrary word over the alphabet X. The length of  $\omega$  is denoted by  $\ell_{\omega}$ . By definition, the length  $\ell_{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  is equal to 0 and  $u^0 = \theta$  for any  $u \in \Omega \setminus \{\theta\}$ . For all  $u_1, u_2 \in \Omega$  let

$$f_{\dashv}(u_1, u_2) = a, \quad f_{\vdash}(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} b, & u_1 = u_2 = \theta, \\ a & otherwise, \end{cases}$$
$$f_{\perp}(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} c, & u_1 = c^k, & u_2 = c^p, & k, & p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ a & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

By  $\Omega$  denote the subset

$$\left\{y^k|y\in\{a,c\},\ k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}\right\}\cup\{b\}$$

of  $\Omega$ . Define operations  $\dashv$ ,  $\vdash$ , and  $\perp$  on

$$A = \left\{ (w, u) \in F^{\star}[X] \times \bar{\Omega} | \ell_w - \ell_u = 1 \right\}$$

by

$$(w_1, u_1) * (w_2, u_2) = (w_1 w_2, f_*(u_1, u_2)^{\ell_{u_1} + \ell_{u_2} + 1})$$

for all  $(w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2) \in A$  and  $* \in \{ \dashv, \vdash, \bot \}$ . The algebra  $(A, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)$  is denoted by FCT(X).

**Theorem 3.1.** ([13], Theorem 3.8) FCT(X) is the free commutative trioid.

Recall the construction of the free commutative dimonoid from [12, 17].

Let G be the set of all unordered pairs  $(p,q), p, q \in X$ . Define operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  on  $F^{\star}[X] \cup G$  by

$$a_{1}...a_{m} \dashv b_{1}...b_{n} = a_{1}...a_{m}b_{1}...b_{n},$$

$$a_{1}...a_{m} \vdash b_{1}...b_{n} = \begin{cases} a_{1}...a_{m}b_{1}...b_{n}, mn > 1, \\ (a_{1}, b_{1}), m = n = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$a_{1}...a_{m} \dashv (p, q) = a_{1}...a_{m} \vdash (p, q) = a_{1}...a_{m}pq,$$

$$(p, q) \dashv a_{1}...a_{m} = (p, q) \vdash a_{1}...a_{m} = pqa_{1}...a_{m},$$

$$(p, q) \dashv (r, s) = (p, q) \vdash (r, s) = pqrs$$

for all  $a_1...a_m, b_1...b_n \in F^*[X], (p,q), (r,s) \in G$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** ([17], Theorem 4.1)  $(F^*[X] \cup G, \dashv, \vdash)$  is the free commutative dimonoid on X. The dimonoid  $(F^*[X] \cup G, \dashv, \vdash)$  is denoted by FCD(X).

If  $T = (T, \dashv, \vdash)$  is a dimonoid, then the trioid  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \dashv)$  (respectively,  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \vdash)$ ) is denoted by  $(T)^{\dashv}$  (respectively,  $(T)^{\vdash}$ ). Clearly,  $(T)^{\dashv}$  and  $(T)^{\vdash}$  are distinct as trioids but they coincide as dimonoids. If  $\rho$  is a congruence on a trioid  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)$  such that two operations of  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)/\rho$ coincide and it is a dimonoid, we say that  $\rho$  is a dimonoid congruence [13]. A dimonoid congruence  $\rho$  on a trioid  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)$  is called a  $d^{\perp}_{\dashv}$ -congruence (respectively,  $d^{\perp}_{\vdash}$ -congruence) [13] if operations  $\dashv$  and  $\bot$  (respectively,  $\vdash$  and  $\bot$ ) of  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)/\rho$  coincide. If  $\rho$  is a congruence on a trioid  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)$  such that all operations of  $(T, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)/\rho$  coincide, we say that  $\rho$  is a semigroup congruence. If  $f: T_1 \to T_2$  is a homomorphism of trioids, the corresponding congruence on  $T_1$  will be denoted by  $\Delta_f$ .

Now we can formulate the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let FCT(X) be the free commutative trioid,  $(w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2) \in FCT(X)$ , and b, c as above. Let FCD(X) be the free commutative dimonoid and  $F^*[X]$  the free commutative semigroup.

(i) Define a relation  $\widetilde{\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}}$  on FCT(X) by

$$(w_1, u_1)\widetilde{\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}}(w_2, u_2)$$

if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

(1)  $w_1 = w_2$  and  $u_1 = u_2 = b;$ (2)  $w_1 = w_2$  and  $u_1 \neq b, \quad u_2 \neq b.$ 

Then  $\widetilde{\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\dashv}^{\perp}$ -congruence on FCT(X).

(ii) Define a relation  $\widetilde{\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$  on FCT(X) by

$$(w_1, u_1)\widetilde{\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}}(w_2, u_2)$$

if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

(1)  $w_1 = w_2$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in \{b, c\};$ (2)  $w_1 = w_2$  and  $u_1, u_2 \notin \{b, c\}.$ Then  $\widetilde{\pi_{\vdash}}$  is the least  $d_{\vdash}^{\perp}$ -congruence on FCT(X).

(iii) Define a relation  $\tilde{\pi}$  on FCT(X) by

$$(w_1, u_1)\widetilde{\pi}(w_2, u_2)$$

if and only if  $w_1 = w_2$ . Then  $\tilde{\pi}$  is the least semigroup congruence on FCT(X).

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}), (z_1...z_k, t^{k-1}) \in FCT(X)$ , where  $x_i \in X$  for  $1 \le i \le s, z_j \in X$  for  $1 \le j \le k$  and  $y, t \in \{a, b, c\}$ .

(i) Define a map  $\pi^{\perp}_{\dashv}: FCT(X) \to (FCD(X))^{\dashv}$  by

$$(x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \mapsto (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \pi_{\neg}^{\perp} = \begin{cases} (x_1, x_2), & s = 2, & y = b, \\ x_1...x_s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us show that  $\pi_\dashv^\perp$  is an epimorphism. Consider the following four cases:

$$y^{s-1} = t^{k-1} = b, (3.1)$$

$$y^{s-1} \neq b, \quad t^{k-1} \neq b, \tag{3.2}$$

$$y^{s-1} = b, \quad t^{k-1} \neq b,$$
 (3.3)

$$y^{s-1} \neq b, \quad t^{k-1} = b.$$
 (3.4)

In the case (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &((x_1x_2,b)*(z_1z_2,b))\pi_{\neg}^{\bot} = \left(x_1x_2z_1z_2,a^3\right)\pi_{\neg}^{\bot} \\ &= x_1x_2z_1z_2 = (x_1,x_2)*(z_1,z_2) \\ &= (x_1x_2,b)\pi_{\neg}^{\bot}*(z_1z_2,b)\pi_{\neg}^{\bot} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $* \in \{ \dashv, \vdash, \bot \}$ . If (3.2) holds, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) + (z_1...z_k, t^{k-1}) \end{pmatrix} \pi_{\neg}^{\perp} = (x_1...x_s z_1...z_k, a^{s+k-1}) \pi_{\neg}^{\perp} \\ = x_1...x_s z_1...z_k = (x_1...x_s) + (z_1...z_k)$$

$$= (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \pi_{\neg}^{\perp} + (z_1...z_k, t^{k-1}) \pi_{\neg}^{\perp},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \vdash (z_1...z_k, t^{k-1}) \end{pmatrix} \pi_{\neg}^{\perp} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \left\{ (x_1 z_1, b), & s = k = 1, \\ (x_1...x_s z_1...z_k, a^{s+k-1}) & \text{otherwise} \right\} \pi_{\neg}^{\perp} \\ = \begin{cases} (x_1, z_1), & s = k = 1, \\ x_1...x_s z_1...z_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$= (x_1...x_s) \vdash (z_1...z_k) \\ = (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \pi_{\neg}^{\perp} \vdash (z_1...z_k, t^{k-1}) \pi_{\neg}^{\perp},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \perp (z_1...z_k, t^{k-1}) \\ (x_1...x_s z_1...z_k, a^{s+k-1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{pmatrix} \pi_{\neg}^{\perp} \\ = \left\{ \begin{cases} (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \perp (z_1...z_k, t^{k-1}) \\ (x_1...x_s z_1...z_k, a^{s+k-1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Let the case (3.3) is satisfied. Then

$$egin{aligned} &\left((x_1x_2,b)*\left(z_1...z_k,t^{k-1}
ight)
ight)\pi_\dashv^\perp = \left(x_1x_2z_1...z_k,a^{k+1}
ight)\pi_\dashv^\perp \ &= x_1x_2z_1...z_k = (x_1,x_2)*(z_1...z_k) \ &= (x_1x_2,b)\pi_\dashv^\perp*(z_1...z_k,t^{k-1})\pi_\dashv^\perp \end{aligned}$$

for all  $* \in \{ \dashv, \vdash, \bot \}$ .

# 5476 👄 A. V. ZHUCHOK ET AL.

Further, consider the case (3.4). We obtain

$$\begin{split} & \left( \left( x_1 ... x_s, y^{s-1} \right) * (z_1 z_2, b) \right) \pi_{\dashv}^{\perp} = \left( x_1 ... x_s z_1 z_2, a^{s+1} \right) \pi_{\dashv}^{\perp} \\ &= x_1 ... x_s z_1 z_2 = (x_1 ... x_s) * (z_1, z_2) \\ &= \left( x_1 ... x_s, y^{s-1} \right) \pi_{\dashv}^{\perp} * (z_1 z_2, b) \pi_{\dashv}^{\perp} \end{split}$$

for all  $* \in \{ \dashv, \vdash, \bot \}$ .

Thus,

$$\left(\left(x_{1}...x_{s}, y^{s-1}\right) * \left(z_{1}...z_{k}, t^{k-1}\right)\right)\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp} = \left(x_{1}...x_{s}, y^{s-1}\right)\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp} * \left(z_{1}...z_{k}, t^{k-1}\right)\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}$$

for all  $(x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}), (z_1...z_k, t^{k-1}) \in FCT(X)$  and  $* \in \{ \dashv, \vdash, \bot \}$ . It is clear that  $\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}$  is a surjection. Since by Theorem 3.2 FCD(X) is the free commutative dimonoid,  $(FCD(X))^{\dashv}$  is the trioid which is free in the variety of commutative trioids with  $\dashv = \bot$ . Consequently,  $\Delta_{\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\dashv}^{\perp}$ -con-

gruence on *FCT*(*X*). From the construction of  $\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}$  it follows that  $\Delta_{\pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}} = \pi_{\dashv}^{\perp}$ . (ii) Define a map  $\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} : FCT(X) \to (FCD(X))^{\vdash}$  by

$$(x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \mapsto (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} = \begin{cases} (x_1, x_2), & s = 2, \quad y \in \{b, c\}, \\ x_1...x_s, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We wish to show that  $\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  is an epimorphism. There are exist the following four cases:

$$y^{s-1}, \quad t^{k-1} \in \{b, c\},$$
 (3.7)

$$y^{s-1}, \quad t^{k-1} \notin \{b, c\},$$
 (3.8)

$$y^{s-1} \in \{b, c\}, \quad t^{k-1} \notin \{b, c\},$$
(3.9)

$$y^{s-1} \notin \{b, c\}, \quad t^{k-1} \in \{b, c\}.$$
 (3.10)

Assume that (3.7) holds. Obviously, (3.7) implies s = k = 2 and  $y, t \in \{b, c\}$ . We get

$$\begin{split} & \big( \big( x_1 x_2, y \big) * \big( z_1 z_2, t \big) \big) \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} = \big( x_1 x_2 z_1 z_2, a^3 \big) \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} \\ &= x_1 x_2 z_1 z_2 = \big( x_1, x_2 \big) * \big( z_1, z_2 \big) \\ &= \big( x_1 x_2, y \big) \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} * \big( z_1 z_2, t \big) \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} \end{split}$$

for  $* \in \{ \dashv, \vdash \}$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (x_1x_2, y) \perp (z_1z_2, t) \end{pmatrix} \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} \\ = \left( \begin{cases} (x_1x_2z_1z_2, c^3), & y = t = c, \\ (x_1x_2z_1z_2, a^3) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right) \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} \\ = x_1x_2z_1z_2 = (x_1, x_2) \vdash (z_1, z_2) \end{cases}$$

 $= (x_1 x_2, y) \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp} \vdash (z_1 z_2, t) \pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}.$ Let us turn to the case (3.8). We have

$$\begin{split} & \left( \left( x_1 \dots x_s, y^{s-1} \right) \bot \left( z_1 \dots z_k, t^{k-1} \right) \right) \pi_{\vdash}^{\bot} \\ & = \left( \begin{cases} \left( x_1 z_1, c \right), & s = k = 1, \\ \left( x_1 \dots x_s z_1 \dots z_k, a^{s+k-1} \right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right) \pi_{\vdash}^{\bot} \\ & = \begin{cases} \left( x_1, z_1 \right), & s = k = 1, \\ x_1 \dots x_s z_1 \dots z_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ & = \left( x_1 \dots x_s \right) \vdash \left( z_1 \dots z_k \right) \\ & = \left( x_1 \dots x_s, y^{s-1} \right) \pi_{\vdash}^{\bot} \vdash \left( z_1 \dots z_k, t^{k-1} \right) \pi_{\vdash}^{\bot}. \end{split}$$

The subcases for operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  are considered as (3.5) and (3.6), respectively.

Finally, similarly to the cases (3.3) and (3.4), the cases (3.9) and (3.10) are checked.

Consequently,  $\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  is a homomorphism. Obviously,  $\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  is a surjection. Since by Theorem 3.2 FCD(X) is the free commutative dimonoid,  $(FCD(X))^{\vdash}$  is the trioid which is free in the variety of commutative trioids with  $\vdash = \bot$ . Thus,  $\Delta_{\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\vdash}^{\perp}$ -congruence on FCT(X). From the definition of  $\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  it follows that  $\Delta_{\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}} = \widetilde{\pi_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$ .

(iii) Define a map  $\pi : FCT(X) \to F^{\star}[X]$  by

$$(x_1...x_s, y^{s-1}) \mapsto (x_1...x_s, y^{s-1})\pi = x_1...x_s.$$

We get

$$((w_1, u_1) * (w_2, u_2))\pi = (w_1 w_2, f_*(u_1, u_2)^{\ell_{u_1} + \ell_{u_2} + 1})\pi$$
$$= w_1 w_2 = (w_1, u_1)\pi(w_2, u_2)\pi$$

for all  $(w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2) \in FCT(X)$  and  $* \in \{ \dashv, \vdash, \bot \}$ .

It means that  $\pi$  is a surjective homomorphism. Since  $F^*[X]$  is the free commutative semigroup,  $\Delta_{\pi}$  is the least semigroup congruence on FCT(X). By definition of  $\pi$ , the equality  $\Delta_{\pi} = \tilde{\pi}$  holds.

# 4. The least dimonoid congruences on the free trioid

In this section, we characterize the least dimonoid congruences and the least semigroup congruence on the free trioid. We will use the definitions and notations from Sections 2 and 3.

In [9], Loday and Ronco described the free trioid of rank 1. The free trioid of an arbitrary rank was presented in [18]. Recall this construction.

For any  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $L \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ ,  $L \neq \emptyset$ , we let  $L + k = \{m + k | m \in L\}$  and denote the least (greatest) number of L by  $L_{\min}$  ( $L_{\max}$ ).

Let X be an arbitrary nonempty set. Define operations  $\dashv$ ,  $\vdash$ , and  $\perp$  on

$$F = \left\{ (w, L) | w \in F[X], \ L \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., \ell_w\}, \ L \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} (w,L) \dashv (\omega,R) &= (w\omega,L), \quad (w,L) \vdash (\omega,R) = (w\omega,R+\ell_w), \\ (w,L) \bot (\omega,R) &= (w\omega,L \cup (R+\ell_w)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(w, L), (\omega, R) \in F$ . By Lemma 7.1 and Theorem 7.1 from [18],  $(F, \dashv, \vdash, \bot)$  is the free trioid. It is denoted by FT(X).

The free dimonoid was first constructed in [8]. A dimonoid which is isomorphic to the free dimonoid can be found in [17]. Recall this construction.

Define operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  on

$$\mathbb{F} = \left\{ (w, m) \in F[X] \times \mathbb{N} | \ell_w \ge m \right\}$$

by

$$(w_1, m_1) \dashv (w_2, m_2) = (w_1 w_2, m_1),$$
  
 $(w_1, m_1) \vdash (w_2, m_2) = (w_1 w_2, \ell_{w_1} + m_2)$ 

for all  $(w_1, m_1), (w_2, m_2) \in \mathbb{F}$ . The algebra  $(\mathbb{F}, \dashv, \vdash)$  is denoted by  $\check{F}[X]$ . By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 from [17],  $\check{F}[X]$  is the free dimonoid.

The following theorem characterizes the least dimonoid congruences and the least semigroup congruence on FT(X).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let FT(X) be the free trioid,  $(w, L), (\omega, R) \in FT(X)$ . Let  $\check{F}[X]$  be the free dimonoid and F[X] the free semigroup.

(i) Define a relation  $\widetilde{\sigma_{\exists}}$  on FT(X) by

$$(w,L)\widetilde{\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp}}(\omega,R)$$

if and only if

 $w = \omega$  and  $L_{min} = R_{min}$ .

Then  $\widetilde{\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\dashv}^{\perp}$ -congruence on FT(X). (ii) Define a relation  $\widetilde{\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$  on FT(X) by

$$(w,L)\widetilde{\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}}(\omega,R)$$

if and only if

$$w = \omega$$
 and  $L_{max} = R_{max}$ 

Then  $\widetilde{\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\vdash}^{\perp}$ -congruence on FT(X). (iii) Define a relation  $\widetilde{\sigma}$  on FT(X) by

$$(w,L)\widetilde{\sigma}(\omega,R)$$

if and only if  $w = \omega$ . Then  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is the least semigroup congruence on FT(X). Proof. (i) Define a map  $\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp} : FT(X) \to (\check{F}[X])^{\dashv}$  by

$$(w, L) \mapsto (w, L) \sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp} = (w, L_{min}).$$

We have

$$\begin{split} ((w,L)\dashv(\omega,R))\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp} &= (w\omega,L)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp} = (w\omega,L_{min})\\ &= (w,L_{min})\dashv(\omega,R_{min}) = (w,L)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp}\dashv(\omega,R)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp},\\ ((w,L)\vdash(\omega,R))\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp} &= (w\omega,R+\ell_w)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp} = (w\omega,(R+\ell_w)_{min})\\ &= (w\omega,R_{min}+\ell_w) = (w,L_{min})\vdash(\omega,R_{min}) = (w,L)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp}\vdash(\omega,R)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp},\\ ((w,L)\bot(\omega,R))\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp} &= (w\omega,L\cup(R+\ell_w))\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp} = (w\omega,(L\cup(R+\ell_w))_{min})\\ &= (w\omega,L_{min}) = (w,L_{min})\dashv(\omega,R_{min}) = (w,L)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp}\dashv(\omega,R)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp}. \end{split}$$

Thus,  $\sigma_{\exists}^{\perp}$  is a surjective homomorphism. Since  $\breve{F}[X]$  is the free dimonoid,  $(\breve{F}[X])^{\dashv}$  is the trioid which is free in the variety of trioids with  $\dashv = \bot$ . It means that  $\Delta_{\sigma_{\exists}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\exists}^{\perp}$ -congruence on FT(X). From the construction of  $\sigma_{\exists}^{\perp}$  it follows that  $\Delta_{\sigma_{\exists}^{\perp}} = \widetilde{\sigma_{\exists}^{\perp}}$ .

(ii) Define a map  $\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} : FT(X) \to (\breve{F}[X])^{\vdash}$  by

$$(w,L)\mapsto (w,L)\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} = (w,L_{max}).$$

We get

$$\begin{split} ((w,L) \dashv (\omega,R))\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} &= (w\omega,L)\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} = (w\omega,L_{max}) \\ &= (w,L_{max}) \dashv (\omega,R_{max}) = (w,L)\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} \dashv (\omega,R)\sigma_{\dashv}^{\perp}, \\ ((w,L) \vdash (\omega,R))\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} &= (w\omega,R+\ell_w)\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} = (w\omega,(R+\ell_w)_{max}) \\ &= (w\omega,R_{max}+\ell_w) = (w,L_{max}) \vdash (\omega,R_{max}) = (w,L)\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} \vdash (\omega,R)\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}, \\ ((w,L)\perp(\omega,R))\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} &= (w\omega,L\cup(R+\ell_w))\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} = (w\omega,(L\cup(R+\ell_w))_{max}) \\ &= (w\omega,R_{max}+\ell_w) = (w,L_{max}) \vdash (\omega,R_{max}) = (w,L)\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp} \vdash (\omega,R)\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}. \end{split}$$

Consequently,  $\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  is a surjective homomorphism. Since  $\check{F}[X]$  is the free dimonoid,  $(\check{F}[X])^{\vdash}$  is the trioid which is free in the variety of trioids with  $\vdash = \bot$ . Hence,  $\Delta_{\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\vdash}^{\perp}$ -congruence

on FT(X). From the definition of  $\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  it follows that  $\Delta_{\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}} = \widetilde{\sigma_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$ . (iii) Define a map  $\sigma : FT(X) \to F[X]$  by

$$(w, L) \mapsto (w, L)\sigma = w.$$

It is easy to see that

$$((w, L) * (\omega, R))\sigma = (w\omega, H_*)\sigma = w\omega = (w, L)\sigma(\omega, R)\sigma$$

for some  $H_* \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., \ell_{w\omega}\}$  and all  $* \in \{\neg, \vdash, \bot\}$ . It means that  $\sigma$  is a surjective homomorphism. Since F[X] is the free semigroup,  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  is the least semigroup congruence on FT(X). By the construction of  $\sigma$ , relations  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  coincide.

# 5. The least dimonoid congruences on the free rectangular trioid

In this section, we characterize the least dimonoid congruences and the least semigroup congruence on the free rectangular trioid. We will use the definitions and notations from Sections 2 and 3.

We recall the construction of the free rectangular trioid [22].

Let X be an arbitrary nonempty set and  $X^4 = X \times X \times X \times X$ . Define operations  $\dashv, \vdash$ , and  $\perp$  on  $X^4$  by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \dashv (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_4),$$
  

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \vdash (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (x_1, y_2, y_3, y_4),$$
  

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \bot (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (x_1, x_2, y_3, y_4)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in X^4$ . The algebra  $(X^4, \neg, \vdash, \bot)$  is denoted by FRT(X).

**Theorem 5.1.** ([22], Theorem 1) FRT(X) is the free rectangular triband.

Now we recall the construction of the free rectangular dimonoid [12, 17] (see also Remark 2.4).

Define operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  on  $X^3 = X \times X \times X$  by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \dashv (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (x_1, x_2, y_3),$$
  
 $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \vdash (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (x_1, y_2, y_3)$ 

for all  $(x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in X^3$ . The algebra  $(X^3, \dashv, \vdash)$  is denoted by FRct(X).

**Theorem 5.2.** ([17], Theorem 5.1) FRct(X) is the free rectangular dimonoid.

Further, consider the construction of the free rectangular band.

Define the multiplication on  $X^2 = X \times X$  by

$$(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = (x_1, y_2)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in X^2$ . Denote the obtained algebra by  $X_{rb}$ . It is well known that  $X_{rb}$  is the free rectangular band.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let FRT(X) be the free rectangular triband,  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in FRT(X)$ . Let FRct(X) be the free rectangular dimonoid and  $X_{rb}$  the free rectangular band. 5480 👄 A. V. ZHUCHOK ET AL.

(i) Define a relation  $\widetilde{\psi_{\dashv}^{\perp}}$  on FRT(X) by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\widetilde{\psi_{\dashv}^{\perp}}(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$$

if and only if

$$(x_1, x_2, x_4) = (y_1, y_2, y_4)$$

Then  $\widetilde{\psi}_{\dashv}^{\perp}$  is the least  $d_{\dashv}^{\perp}$ -congruence on FRT(X). (ii) Define a relation  $\widetilde{\psi}_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  on FRT(X) by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\widetilde{\psi_{\vdash}^{\perp}}(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$$

if and only if

 $(x_1, x_3, x_4) = (y_1, y_3, y_4).$ 

Then  $\widetilde{\psi_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\vdash}^{\perp}$ -congruence on FRT(X). (iii) Define a relation  $\widetilde{\psi}$  on FRT(X) by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\psi(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$$

if and only if

$$(x_1, x_4) = (y_1, y_4).$$

Then  $\widetilde{\psi}$  is the least semigroup congruence on FRT(X).

*Proof.* (i) Define a map  $\psi_{\dashv}^{\perp} : FRT(X) \to (FRct(X))^{\dashv}$  by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \psi_\dashv^\perp = (x_1, x_2, x_4).$$

By Theorem 4 (iii) from [22],  $\psi_{\exists}^{\perp}$  is a homomorphism. It is obvious that  $\psi_{\exists}^{\perp}$  is a surjective map. Since by Theorem 5.2 *FRct*(*X*) is the free rectangular dimonoid,  $(FRct(X))^{\dashv}$  is the trioid which is free in the variety of rectangular trioids with  $\dashv = \bot$ . It means that  $\Delta_{\psi_{\exists}^{\perp}}$  is the least

 $d_{\exists}^{\perp}$ -congruence on *FRT*(*X*). From the construction of  $\psi_{\exists}^{\perp}$  it follows that  $\Delta_{\psi_{\exists}^{\perp}} = \psi_{\exists}^{\perp}$ .

(ii) Define a map  $\psi_{\vdash}^{\perp} : FRT(X) \to (FRct(X))^{\vdash}$  by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \psi_{\vdash}^{\perp} = (x_1, x_3, x_4).$$

By Theorem 4 (iv) from [22],  $\psi_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  is a homomorphism. It is easy to see that  $\psi_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  is a surjection. Since by Theorem 5.2 *FRct*(*X*) is the free rectangular dimonoid,  $(FRct(X))^{\vdash}$  is the trioid which is free in the variety of rectangular trioids with  $\vdash = \bot$ . Hence,  $\Delta_{\psi_{\vdash}^{\perp}}$  is the least  $d_{\vdash}^{\perp}$ -congruence on

*FRT*(*X*). From the definition of  $\psi_{\vdash}^{\perp}$  it follows that  $\Delta_{\psi_{\vdash}^{\perp}} = \psi_{\vdash}^{\perp}$ .

(iii) Define a map  $\psi : FRT(X) \to X_{rb}$  by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \psi = (x_1, x_4).$$

According to Theorem 2 (iii) from [22],  $\psi$  is a homomorphism. Evidently,  $\psi$  is a surjective map. From Corollary 2 (iii) of [22], it follows that  $\Delta_{\psi}$  is the least semigroup congruence on *FRT*(*X*). By definition of  $\psi$ , we have  $\Delta_{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}$ .

Since associative trialgebras [9] are linear analogs of trioids, all results obtained for trioids hold for associative trialgebras.

# References

- [1] Bagherzadeh, F., Bremner, M., Madariaga, S. (2017). Jordan trialgebras and post-Jordan algebras. J. Algebra 486:360-395. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2017.04.022.
- Bokut, L. A., Chen, Y., Liu, C. (2010). Gröbner–Shirshov bases for dialgebras. Int. J. Algebra Comput. 20(3): 391–415. DOI: 10.1142/S0218196710005753.
- [3] Casas, J. M. (2006). Trialgebras and Leibniz 3-algebras. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 12(2):165-178.
- [4] Ebrahimi-Fard, K. J. (2002). Loday-type algebras and the Rota-Baxter relation. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 61(2): 139–147.
- [5] Gubarev, V., Kolesnikov, P. (2013). Embedding of dendriform algebras into Rota-Baxter algebras. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 11(2):226–245. DOI: 10.2478/s11533-012-0138-z.
- [6] Kolesnikov, P. S., Voronin, V. Y. (2013). On special identities for dialgebras. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 61(3):377–391. DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2012.686108.
- [7] Kolesnikov, P. S. (2008). Varieties of dialgebras and conformal algebras. Sib. Math. J. 49(2):257–272. DOI: 10.1007/s11202-008-0026-8.
- [8] Loday, J.-L. (2001). Dialgebras. In: Dialgebras and Related Operads: Lecture Notes on Mathematics, Vol. 1763, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 7–66.
- [9] Loday, J.-L., Ronco, M. O. (2004). Trialgebras and families of polytopes. Contemp. Math. 346:369-398.
- [10] Pozhidaev, A. P. (2008). Dialgebras and related triple systems. Sib. Math. J. 49(4):696–708. DOI: 10.1007/ s11202-008-0067-z.
- [11] Vallette, B. (2007). Homology of generalized partition posets. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 208(2):699–725. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2006.03.012.
- [12] Zhuchok, A. V. (2015). Dimonoids and bar-units. Sib. Math. J. 56(5):827–840. DOI: 10.1134/S0037446615050055.
- [13] Zhuchok, A. V. (2019). Free commutative trioids. Semigroup Forum 98(2):355–368. DOI: 10.1007/s00233-019-09995-y.
- [14] Zhuchok, A. V. (2013). Free products of dimonoids. *Quasigroups Relat. Syst.* 21(2):273–278.
- [15] Zhuchok, A. V. (2017). Free products of doppelsemigroups. Algebra Univers. 77(3):361–374. DOI: 10.1007/ s00012-017-0431-6.
- [16] Zhuchok, A. V. (2011). Semilatties of subdimonoids. Asian Eur. J. Math. 4 (2):359–371. DOI: 10.1142/ S1793557111000290.
- [17] Zhuchok, A. V. (2017). Structure of relatively free dimonoids. Commun. Algebra 45(4):1639–1656. DOI: 10. 1080/00927872.2016.1222404.
- [18] Zhuchok, A. V. (2015). Trioids. Asian Eur. J. Math. 8(4):1550089. DOI: 10.1142/S1793557115500898.
- [19] Zhuchok, A. V., Demko, M. (2016). Free *n*-dinilpotent doppelsemigroups. *Algebra Discrete Math.* 22(2): 304–316.
- [20] Zhuchok, A. V., Zhuchok, Yul. V. (2016). Free left *n*-dinilpotent dimonoids. Semigroup Forum 93(1): 161–179. DOI: 10.1007/s00233-015-9743-z.
- [21] Zhuchok, Yul. V. (2015). Free *n*-nilpotent trioids. Mat. Stud. 43(1):3-11.
- [22] Zhuchok, Yul. V. (2015). Free rectangular tribands. Bul. Acad. Stiinte Repub. Mold. Mat. 78(2):61-73.
- [23] Zhuchok, Y. V. (2015). On the determinability of free trioids by semigroups of endomorphisms. Dopov. Nac. Akad. Nauk. Ukr. 4:7–11. (in Russian). DOI: 10.15407/dopovidi2015.04.007.
- [24] Zhuchok, Y. V. (2016). The endomorphism monoid of a free trioid of rank 1. Algebra Univers. 76(3): 355–366. DOI: 10.1007/s00012-016-0392-1.