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Abstract. We study representations of the group of order 2 over local factorial rings of characteristic not 2 with residue field of characteristic 2 . The main results are related to a sufficient condition of wildness of groups.

## Introduction

A group $G$ is called wild over an commutative ring $K$, if the problem of classifying its matrix $K$-representations contains the problem of classifying the pairs matrices, up to similarity, over a field $k$. Otherwise, $G$ is called tame over $K$. When $K$ is a field of characteristic $p(p \geqslant 0)$, a finite group $G$ is tame if and only if its every noncyclic abelian $p$-subgroup has order at most 4 [1]. In particular,

1) in the classical case, when the order of $G$ is not divisible by $p$, the group $G$ is always tame and even has, up to equivalence, only finite number of indecomposable representations;
$2)$ in the modular case, when the order of $G$ is divisible by $p$, the group $G$ has only finite number of indecomposable representations if and only if its Sylow $p$-subgroup $G_{p}$ is cyclic; when it is not, then $G$ is tame if and only if $p=2$ and $G_{2} /\left[G_{2}, G_{2}\right] \cong(2,2)$.
[^0]For commutative rings such problem, in general case, is not solved. The first work in this direction is due to the first author [2]. If one talks about integral domains, then in the weakly modular case, i.e. when the order of $G$ is not divisible by the characteristic $p$ of a ring $K$ but is divisible by the characteristic of the residue field [3], a criterion of wildness of $G$ over a local ring $K$ was obtained, in particular, in the following cases:

1) $K=Z_{p}^{\prime}$ is the ring of $p$-adic rational numbers [4];
2) $K=R_{p}$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension $F_{p}$ of the field $p$-adic rational numbers [5];
3) $G$ is a $p$-group, $K$ is a ring of formal power series in $n$ variables over a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0 with residue field of characteristic $p$ [6].

Wildness of $p$-groups of order greater than $p$ was studied in [6] for $p>2$ and in $[7,8]$ for $p=2$. Note that the smaller order of the group, the harder to find conditions of its wildness.

In this paper we study the case when the order of $G$ is equal to 2 .

## 1. Formulation of the main results

Let $K$ be a local integral domain with maximal ideal $R$ and residue field $k$, and $G$ be a group. A matrix representation $\Gamma$ of $G$ over the free (associative) $K$-algebra $\Sigma=K\langle x, y\rangle$ is said to be perfect if from the equivalence of the representations $\Gamma \otimes T$ and $\Gamma \otimes T^{\prime}$ of $G$ over $K$, where $T, T^{\prime}$ are matrix representations $\Sigma$ over $K$, it follows that $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are equivalent modulo $R$. Following Yu. Drozd [9, pp. 70-71] we call the group $G$ wild over $K$ if it has a perfect representation over $\Sigma^{1}$.

Recall some definitions on integral domains.
A prime element, or simply a prime, of an integral domain $K$ is, by definition, a non-unit (non-invertible) element $c$ such that whenever $c \mid a b$ for some $a, b \in K$, then $c \mid a$ or $c \mid b$. The element $\varepsilon c$ with $\varepsilon$ to be a unit is called associated to $c$.

A factorial ring $K$ is an integral domain in which every non-zero nonunit element $x$ can be written as a product of prime elements, uniquely up to order and unit factors. The number $l(x)$ of the prime factors of $x$ is called the length of $x$.

[^1]By different prime elements of $K$ we mean non-associated ones. The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (on six twos). Let $G$ be the group of order 2 and $K$ a local factorial ring of characteristic not 2 with residue field of characteristic 2 . If $K$ has 2 different primes and $l(2)>2$, then $G$ is wild.

Corollary 1. Let $G$ be a (finite or infinite) group with a factor group to be a finite 2-group, and $K$ be as in Theorem. Then $G$ is wild.

## 2. Auxiliary propositions

In this section $K$ is a local integral domain with maximal ideal $R$.
Lemma 1. Let $2=t_{1} t_{2} t($ in $K)$, where $t_{1}$, $t_{2}$ are different primes, $t \in R$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{2} x+t_{2}^{2} y+t_{1} t_{2} z=2 w \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x, y, z, w \in K$. Then $x \equiv y \equiv z \equiv 0(\bmod R)$.
Proof. From $2=t_{1} t_{2} t$ and (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2}\left(t_{2} y+t_{1} z-t_{1} t w\right)=-t_{1}^{2} x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence $t_{2} \mid x$ and therefore $x \equiv 0(\bmod R)$. Let $x=t_{2} x^{\prime}$. Then we have from (2) (after reducing by $t_{2}$ and elementary transformations) that

$$
t_{1}\left(z+t_{1} x^{\prime}-t w\right)=-t_{2} y
$$

whence $t_{1} \mid y$ and $t_{2} \mid z+t_{1} x^{\prime}-t w ;$ consequently $y \equiv z \equiv 0(\bmod R)$.
Lemma 2. Let $2=t_{1}^{2} t($ in $K)$, where $t_{1}$ is a prime, $t \in R$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{2} x+t_{2}^{2} y+t_{1} t_{2} z=2 w \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x, y, z, w \in K$ and a prime $t_{2} \neq t_{1}$. Then $x \equiv y \equiv z \equiv 0$ $(\bmod R)$.

Proof. From $2=t_{1}^{2} t$ and (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}\left(t_{1} x+t_{2} z-t_{1} t w\right)=-t_{2}^{2} y \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence $t_{1} \mid y$ and therefore $y \equiv 0(\bmod R)$. Let $y=t_{1} y^{\prime}$. Then we have from (4) (after reducing by $t_{1}$ and elementary transformations) that

$$
t_{1}(x-t w)=-t_{2}\left(z+t_{2} y^{\prime}\right)
$$

whence $\left.t_{2} \mid x-t w\right)$ and $t_{1} \mid z+t_{2} y^{\prime}$; consequently $x \equiv z \equiv 0(\bmod R)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem

Let $G=\left\langle g \mid g^{2}=e\right\rangle$. It is natural to identify a matrix representations $T$ of $\Sigma=K\langle x, y\rangle$ over $K$ with the ordered pair of matrices $T(x), T(y)$; if these matrices are of size $m \times m$, we say that $T$ is of $K$-dimension $m$. Then, for a matrix representation $\Gamma$ of the group $G$ over $K$ (see above the definition of a wild group) and $T$ of $K$-dimension $m$, the matrix $(\Gamma \otimes T)(g)$ is obtained from the matrix $\Gamma(g)$ by change $x$ and $y$ on the matrices $T(x)$ and $T(y)$, and $a \in K$ on the scalar matrix $a E_{m}$, where $E_{m}$ is the identity $m \times m$ matrix.

From the conditions of the theorem it follows immediately that

1) $2=t_{1} t_{2} t$ with $t_{1}, t_{2}$ to be different primes and $t \in R$, or
2) $2=t_{1}^{2} t$ with $t_{1}$ to be a prime and $t \in R$.

Consider first case 1).
We prove that the representation $\Gamma$ of $G$ over $\Sigma$ of the form

$$
\Gamma: g \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & t_{1} t_{2} & t_{1}^{2} x & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & t_{2}^{2} & t_{1} t_{2} & t_{1}^{2} y \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & t_{2}^{2} & t_{1} t_{2} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is perfect.
Let $T=(A, B)$ and $T^{\prime}=\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ be matrix representations of $\Sigma$ over $K$ of a $K$-dimension $n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Gamma \otimes T)(g) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
E_{n} & 0 & 0 & t_{1} t_{2} E_{n} & t_{1}^{2} A & 0 \\
0 & E_{n} & 0 & t_{2}^{2} E_{n} & t_{1} t_{2} E_{n} & t_{1}^{2} B \\
0 & 0 & E_{n} & 0 & t_{2}^{2} E_{n} & t_{1} t_{2} E_{n} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_{n} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_{n}
\end{array}\right)= \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{3 n} & t_{1}^{2} M(A, B)+t_{2}^{2} N+t_{1} t_{2} E_{3 n} \\
0 & -E_{3 n}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Gamma \otimes T^{\prime}\right)(g)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
E_{n} & 0 & 0 & E_{n} & t_{1}^{2} A^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & E_{n} & 0 & t_{2}^{2} E_{n} & E_{n} & t_{1}^{2} B^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & E_{n} & 0 & t_{2}^{2} E_{n} & E_{n} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_{n} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_{n}
\end{array}\right)= \\
=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{3 n} & t_{1}^{2} M\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)+t_{2}^{2} N+t_{1} t_{2} E_{3 n} \\
0 & -E_{3 n}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
M(A, B)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & A & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), M\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & A^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
N=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & E_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Assume that the representations $\Gamma(A, B)$ and $\Gamma\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent, i. e. there exists an invertible matrix $C$ such that $(\Gamma \otimes T)(g) C=$ $C\left(\Gamma \otimes T^{\prime}\right)(g)$. So we have the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{3 n} & t_{1}^{2} M(A, B)+t_{2}^{2} N+t_{1} t_{2} E_{3 n} \\
0 & -E_{3 n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{1} & C_{2} \\
C_{3} & C_{4}
\end{array}\right)= \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} & C_{2} \\
C_{3} & C_{4}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{3 n} & t_{1}^{2} M\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)+t_{2}^{2} N+t_{1} t_{2} E_{3 n} \\
0 & -E_{3 n}
\end{array}\right), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the partition of

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} & C_{2} \\
C_{3} & C_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

on blocks is compatible with those of $(\Gamma \otimes T)(g),\left(\Gamma \otimes T^{\prime}\right)(g)$.
The equality (5) is equivalent to the following ones:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}+t_{1}^{2} M(A, B) C_{3}+t_{2}^{2} N C_{3}+t_{1} t_{2} C_{3}=C_{1} \\
& C_{2}+t_{1}^{2} M(A, B) C_{4}+t_{2}^{2} N C_{4}+t_{1} t_{2} C_{4} \\
& \quad=t_{1}^{2} C_{1} M\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)+t_{2}^{2} C_{1} N+t_{1} t_{2} C_{1}-C_{2} \\
& \quad-C_{3}=C_{3} \\
& -C_{4}=t_{1}^{2} C_{3} M\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)+t_{2}^{2} C_{3} N+t_{1} t_{2} C_{3}-C_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

In turn, these equations are equivalent to the equations $C_{3}=0$ and $t_{1}^{2}\left(M(A, B) C_{4}-C_{1} M\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)\right)+t_{2}^{2}\left(N C_{4}-C_{1} N\right)+t_{1} t_{2}\left(C_{4}-C_{1}\right)=-2 C_{2}$.

By applying Lemma 1 to all scalar equations of the last matrix equation, we easily see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
M(A, B) C_{4} \equiv C_{1} M\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)(\bmod R), \\
N C_{4} \equiv C_{1} N(\bmod R), \quad C_{4} \equiv C_{1}(\bmod R)
\end{gathered}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
M(A, B) C_{1} & \equiv C_{1} M\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)(\bmod R)  \tag{6}\\
N C_{1} & \equiv C_{1} N(\bmod R) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

From $C_{3}=0$ it follows that the block $C_{1}$ of the (invertible) matrix $C$ is invertible. Put

$$
C_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \\
C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and write (7) in the expanded form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & E_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \\
C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33}
\end{array}\right) \equiv \\
\equiv & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \\
C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & E_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)(\bmod R)
\end{aligned}
$$

(the partition of $C_{1}$ on blocks is compatible with those of $N$ ). From this we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23}
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 \\
C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 \\
C_{32} & C_{33} & 0
\end{array}\right)(\bmod R)
$$

whence $C_{12} \equiv C_{13} \equiv C_{23} \equiv 0(\bmod R), C_{11} \equiv C_{22} \equiv C_{33}(\bmod R)$, $C_{21} \equiv C_{32}(\bmod R)$, and therefore

$$
C_{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & 0 & 0  \tag{8}\\
C_{21} & C_{11} & 0 \\
C_{31} & C_{21} & C_{11}
\end{array}\right)(\bmod R)
$$

with $C_{11}$ being invertible modulo $R$.
From (6) and (8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & A & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
C_{21} & C_{11} & 0 \\
C_{31} & C_{21} & C_{11}
\end{array}\right) \equiv \\
& \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
C_{21} & C_{11} & 0 \\
C_{31} & C_{21} & C_{11}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & A^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)(\bmod R)
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A C_{21} & A C_{11} & 0 \\
B C_{31} & B C_{21} & B C_{11} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & C_{11} A^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & C_{21} A^{\prime} & C_{11} B^{\prime} \\
0 & C_{31} A^{\prime} & C_{21} B^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)(\bmod R)
$$

From this, in particular, we have

$$
A C_{11} \equiv C_{11} A^{\prime} \quad(\bmod R), \quad B C_{11} \equiv C_{11} B^{\prime} \quad(\bmod R)
$$

as required.
Now consider case 2.
In this case we take as a perfect representation $\Gamma$ of $G$ over $\Sigma$ the representation of the same form as in case 1) with $t_{2}$ to be any prime element different from $t_{1}$ (it exists by the condition of the theorem). Then the proof is analogously to that in case 1 ), but it is need to use Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The problem of allocation of wild objects (relative to different equivalences) has long been one of the main problems of modern representation theory. Besides classical objects (groups, algebras, rings, etc.) there are such well-known objects as directed graphs (quivers) and posets, both with various additional conditions (see, e.g. [10] - [13] for graphs and [14] - [21] for posets).

