Type conditions of stable range for identification of qualitative generalized classes of rings

Bohdan Zabavsky

Communicated by E. I. Zelmanov

ABSTRACT. This article deals mostly with the following question: when the classical ring of quotients of a commutative ring is a ring of stable range 1? We introduce the concepts of a ring of (von Neumann) regular range 1, a ring of semihereditary range 1, a ring of regular range 1, a semihereditary local ring, a regular local ring. We find relationships between the introduced classes of rings and known ones, in particular, it is established that a commutative indecomposable almost clean ring is a regular local ring. Any commutative ring of idempotent regular range 1 is an almost clean ring. It is shown that any commutative indecomposable almost clean range 1. The classical ring of quotients of a commutative Bezout ring $Q_{Cl}(R)$ is a (von Neumann) regular local ring if and only if R is a commutative semihereditary local ring.

Throughout, all rings are assumed to be associative with unit and $1 \neq 0$. The set of nonzero divisors (also called regular elements) of R is denoted by $\mathfrak{R}(R)$, the set of units by U(R) and the set of idempotents by $\mathfrak{B}(R)$. The Jacobson radical of a ring R is denoted by J(R). The classical ring of quotients of ring R is denoted by $Q_{CL}(R)$.

A ring R is called indecomposable if $\mathfrak{B}(R) = \{0, 1\}$. A ring is called clean if every its element is the sum of a unit and idempotents, and it

²⁰¹⁰ MSC: 13F99, 06F20.

Key words and phrases: Bezout ring, Hermite ring, elementary divisor ring, semihereditary ring, regular ring, neat ring, clean ring, stable range 1.

is called almost clean if each element of a ring is the sum of a regular element and an idempotent [5]. An element a of a ring R is called (von Neumann) regular element, if axa = a for some element $x \in R$. An element a of a ring R is called a left (right) semihereditary element if Ra (aR) is projective. A ring R is a ring of stable range 1, if for any elements $a, b \in R$ such that aR + bR = R there exists an element $t \in R$ such that (a + bt)R = R. A ring R is a ring of stable range 2, if for any $a, b, c \in R$ such that aR + bR + cR = R there exist such $x, y \in R$ that (a + cx)R + (b + cy)R = R (see [6]).

Following Kaplansky [4] a commutative ring is said to be an elementary divisor ring if every matrix A over R is equivalent to a diagonal matrix, i.e. for A there exist such invertible matrices P and Q of appropriate sizes that PAQ is diagonal matrix (d_{ij}) (i.e. $d_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$) with the property that $Rd_{i+1,i+1}R \subseteq d_{ii}R \cap Rd_{ii}$. If every 1 by 2 and 2 by 1 matrix over R is equivalent to a diagonal matrix then the ring called an Hermite ring.

Obviously, an elementary divisor ring is Hermite, and it is easy to see that an Hermite ring is Bezout ring [5]. Examples, that neither implication is revertible, are provided by Gillmann and Henriksen in [2]. We have the following result.

Theorem 1 ([6]). A commutative Bezout ring R is an Hermite ring if and only if the stable range of R is equal 2.

Contessa in [1] called a ring (von Neumann) regular local if for each $a \in R$ either a or (1 - a) is a (von Neumann) regular element.

Proposition 1. Let R be a commutative Bezout ring. If $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}(Q_{CL}(R))$ then $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}(Q_{CL}(R))$ and $\varphi = \frac{e}{s}$, where s is a regular element of R. Let $eR + sR = \delta R$, then $e = e_0\delta$, $s = s_0\delta$ and $eu + sv = \delta$ for some elements $e_0, s_0, u, v \in R$. Since s is a regular element, δ is a regular element as a divisor of s. Since $eu + sv = \delta$, then $\delta(e_0u + s_0v - 1) = 0$. Since $\delta \neq 0$ and δ is a regular element of R, we have $e_0u + s_0v - 1 = 0$. Then $\frac{e}{s} = \frac{e_0}{s_0}$, where $e_0R + s_0R = R$. Since $\frac{e_0}{s_0} \in \mathfrak{B}(Q_{CL}(R))$, then $e_0^2s_0 = e_0s_0^2$ and $s_0(e_0^2 - e_0s_0) = 0$. Since $s_0 \neq 0$ and so s_0 is a regular element of R as a divisor of s, we have $e_0^2 = e_0s_0$.

Since $e_0 u + s_0 v = 1$, we have $e_0^2 u + e_0 s_0 v = e_0$ and $s_0(e_0 u + s_0 v) = e_0$. Hence $\frac{e_0}{s_0} \in R$. **Proposition 2.** Let R be a commutative ring and a is a (von Neumann) regular element of R. Then a = eu, where $e \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$ and $u \in U(R)$.

Proof. Let axa = a. This implies that axax = ax, i.e. $e = ax \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$ and $e \in aR$. Since axa = a, then ea = a, i.e. $a \in eR$ and we have aR = eR.

Consider an element u = (1 - e) + a. Since u(1 - e) = 1 - e, we have uR + eR = R. We proved that eR = aR, then uR + aR = R. Since ue = ((1 - e) + a)e = ae = a, then $aR \subset uR$. Obviously, the equality uR + aR = R and inclusion $aR \subset uR$ in a commutative ring are possible if $u \in U(R)$.

Then we have ue = a.

Proposition 3. Let R be a commutative ring. Then a be a semihereditary element if and only if a = er, where $e \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$ and $r \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi R = \{x \mid xa = 0\}$ and $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$. Since $\varphi a = 0$, we have $(1 - \varphi)a = a$. Let $r = a - \varphi$ and rx = 0.

Since $ax = \varphi x$ and $(1 - \varphi)a = a$, we have $(1 - \varphi)ax = \varphi x$ and $(1 - \varphi)\varphi x = 0$. Then $\varphi x = 0$ and ax = 0. Since ax = 0, we have $x \in \varphi R$, i.e. $x = x\varphi$. Since $x\varphi = 0$, so x = 0. Then we see that r is a regular element of R. Since

$$r(1-\varphi) = a(1-\varphi) - \varphi(1-\varphi) = a(1-\varphi) = a_{1}$$

then $a = r(1 - \varphi)$. Put $1 - \varphi = e$, we have a = re, where $e \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$ and $r \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$. Obviously, $\{x | x(re) = 0\} = (1 - e)R$.

Definition 1. A ring R is said to have a (von Neumann) regular range 1, if for any such $a, b \in R$ that aR + bR = R, there exists $y \in R$ such that a + by is a (von Neumann) regular element of R.

Obviously, an example of ring (von Neumann) regular range 1 is a ring of stable range 1. Moreover, we have the following result.

Proposition 4. A commutative ring of (von Neumann) regular range 1 is a ring of stable range 1.

Proof. Let R be a ring of (von Neumann) regular range 1 and aR+bR = R. Then there exists an element such $y \in R$ that a+by = r is a (von Neumann) regular element of R. By Proposition 2, we have a + by = r = ek, where $e \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$ and $k \in U(R)$.

Note that, since aR + bR = R, we have eR + bR = R. Then eu + bv = 1 for some elements $u, v \in R$. Since 1 - e = (1 - e)eu + (1 - e)bv, we have 1 - e = (1 - e)bv, and e + b(1 - e)v = 1. Then ek + b(1 - e)kv = k.

Thus, we have a + bs = k for some element $s \in R$, i.e. (a + bs)R = R. We have that R is a ring of stable range 1.

Then we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. For a commutative ring the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) R is a ring of stable range 1;
- 2) R is a ring of (von Neumann) regular range 1.

Definition 2. A ring R is said to have a semihereditary range 1, if for any such elements $a, b \in R$ that aR + bR = R there exists such $y \in R$ that a + by is a semihereditary right element of R.

Obviously, an example of a ring of semihereditary range 1 is a ring of stable range 1 and a commutative semihereditary ring.

A special place in the class of rings of semihereditary range 1 is taken by semihereditary local rings.

Definition 3. A commutative ring R is a semihereditary local ring if for any such $a, b \in R$ that aR + bR = R, either a or b is a semihereditary element of R.

Obviously, an example of a semihereditary local ring is a (von Neumann) regular local ring and a semihereditary ring. A commutative domain (which is not a local ring) is a semihereditary local ring which is not a (von Neumann) regular local ring.

Proposition 5. A commutative semihereditary local ring is a ring of semihereditary range 1.

Proof. Let R be a commutative semihereditary local ring and aR+bR = R. If a is a semihereditary element, then representation a + b0 is as tequired. If a is not semihereditary, by condition aR + (a + b)R = R, the element a + b1 is semihereditary.

The ring \mathbb{Z}_{36} is not a semihereditary local ring, but \mathbb{Z}_{36} is a ring of semihereditary range 1 (see [1]).

Definition 4. A ring R is said to have regular range 1 if for any such $a, b \in R$ that aR + bR = R there exists such $y \in R$ that a + by is a regular element of R.

Theorem 3. For a commutative ring R the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) R is a ring of regular range 1;
- 2) R is a ring of semihereditary range 1.

Proof. A regular element is a semihereditary element and then if R is a ring of regular range 1 then R is a ring of semihereditary range 1.

Let R be a ring of semihereditary range 1 and aR + bR = R. Then there exists such $y \in R$ that a + by = er, where $e \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$, $r \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$. Since aR + bR = R, we have eR + bR = R. Then eu + bv = 1 for some elements $u, v \in R$. Since 1 - e = (1 - e)eu + (1 - e)bv we have e + b(1 - e)v = 1and er + br(1 - e)v = r. Since a + by = er and er + br(1 - e)v = r, we have a + bs = r for some element $s \in R$. Then R is a ring of regular range 1.

Proposition 6. A classical ring of quotients $Q_{CL}(R)$ of a commutative Bezout ring R of regular range 1 is a ring of stable range 1.

Proof. Let

$$\frac{a}{s}Q_{CL}(R) + \frac{b}{s}Q_{CL}(R) = Q_{CL}(R).$$

Then au + bv = t, where $u, v \in R$ and $t \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$. Since R is a commutative Bezout ring, we have aR + bR = dR for some element $d \in R$. Then $a = a_0d$, $b = b_0d$ and ax + by = d for some elements $a_0, b_0, x, y \in R$. Since au + bv = t, we have $d(a_0u + b_0v) = t$. Then d is a regular element as the divisor of a regular element t.

Since $d(a_0x + b_0y - 1) = 0$ and $d \neq 0$, we have $a_0x + b_0y - 1 = 0$ i.e. $a_0R + b_0R = R$. Since R is a ring of regular range 1, we have $a_0 + b_0k = r$ regular element of R for some element $k \in R$. Then $a + bk = rd \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$. So we have $\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{s}k = \frac{rd}{s}$.

So we have $\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{s}k = \frac{rd}{s}$. Since $\frac{rd}{s} \in U(Q_{CL}(R))$ we have $(\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{s}k)Q_{CL}(R) = Q_{CL}(R)$ i.e. $Q_{CL}(R)$ is a ring of stable range 1.

Here are some examples of rings of regular range 1.

Definition 5. A commutative ring R is a regular local ring if for any $a \in R$ either a or (1 - a) is a regular element.

Proposition 7. A commutative regular local Bezout ring is a ring of stable range 2.

Proof. Let R be a regular local Bezout ring. Let a, b be nonzero elements of R. Since R is a commutative Bezout ring, we have aR + bR = dR. Then we have au + bv = d, $a = a_0d$, $b = b_0d$ for some elements $a_0, b_0, u, v \in R$.

Since $d(a_0u + b_0v - 1) = 0$, by the definition of a ring R we see that either $a_0u + b_0v$ or $a_0u + b_0v - 1$ is a regular element of R. If $a_0u + b_0v - 1$ is a regular element, by $d(a_0u + b_0v - 1) = 0$ we have d = 0, i.e. a = b = 0 but this is impossible. Let $a_0u + b_0v = r$ be a regular element of R.

Let $a_0R + b_0R = \delta R$. If $\delta \notin U(R)$ we have $a_0x + b_0y = \delta$, $a_0 = \delta a_1$, $b_0 = \delta b_1$ for some elements $a_1, b_1, x, y \in R$. This implies that $\delta(a_1u + b_1v) = a_0u + b_0v = r$. Since $r \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$, we have $\delta \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$.

This implies that $\delta(a_1x + b_1y - 1) = 0$ and, since $\delta \neq 0$, we have $a_1x + b_1y - 1 = 0$ i.e. $a_1R + b_1R = R$. Thus, we have $a = d\delta a_1$, $b = d\delta b_1$, $a_1R + b_1R = R$. By [2], R is an Hermite ring and, by Theorem 1, we obtain that R is a ring of stable range 2.

In the class of rings of regular range 1 we allocate a class of ring of idempotent regular range 1.

Proposition 8. A ring R is said to be a ring of idempotent regular range 1 if for any such elements $a, b \in R$ that aR + bR = R there exists such an idempotent $e \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$ and a regular element $r \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$ that a + be = r.

An obvious example of a ring of idempotent regular range 1 is a ring of idempotent stable range 1, i.e. a commutative clean ring.

Proposition 9. A commutative regular local ring is a ring of idempotent regular range 1.

Proof. Let R be a regular local ring and aR + bR = R. If a is a regular element, then we have a representation a + b0 = a. If a is not a regular element, so since aR + (a + b)R = R, the element a + b1 is regular. \Box

Theorem 4. A commutative semihereditary ring is a ring of idempotent regular range 1.

Proof. Let R be a commutative semihereditary ring and aR + bR = R. By [5] and Proposition 3, we have a = er where e is an idempotent and r is a regular element. Note that if e = 1, we have that a is a regular element and $a + b \cdot 0$ is a necessary representation. If $e \neq 1$, let s = a + b(1 - e). We need to show that s is a regular element of R. Let sx = 0, then ax = -b(1 - e)x. Since a = er, we have that

$$erx = (1-e)(-b)x.$$

Thus, we obtained that $e \cdot erx = e(1-e)(-b) = 0$. Since erx = exr = 0and r is a regular nonzero element, we have ex = 0 and b(1-e)x = 0, therefore bx = bex = 0. Hence we have ax = 0 and bx = 0. Since aR + bR = R we have au + bv = 1 for some elements $u, v \in R$. Then x = axu + bxv = 0 and s = a + b(1 - e) is a regular element. Thus, we have that R is a ring of idempotent regular range 1.

Consequently, we obtain a result.

Proposition 10. A commutative ring of idempotent regular range 1 is an almost clean ring.

Proof. Let R be a ring of idempotent regular range 1 and let $a \in R$ be any nonzero element $a \in R$. Then aR + (-1)R = R and a - e = r, where e is an idempotent and r is a regular element of R.

Open question: Is every commutative almost clean ring a ring of idempotent regular range 1?

Proposition 11. For a commutative ring R the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) R is an indecomposable almost clean ring;
- 2) R is a regular local ring.

Proof. Let R be an indecomposable almost clean ring. Since 0 and 1 are all idempotents of R, we have for any a that either a or 1 - a is a regular element of R.

Let R be a regular local ring. Since for each idempotent $e \in R$ we have, that both e and 1 - e are idempotents, then we have that R is indecomposable ring. By Proposition 9, we have that R is a ring of idempotent regular range 1 and by Proposition 10, R is an almost clean ring.

By Theorem 1 and Proposition 7 we have the following result.

Theorem 5. A commutative indecomposable almost clean Bezout ring is a Hermite ring.

Proposition 12. A commutative semihereditary local ring is a ring of idempotent regular range 1.

Proof. Let R be a commutative semihereditary local ring and aR+bR = R. If a is semihereditary element then we have a representation a = er, where e is an idempotent and r is a regular element. Then we have that a+b(1-e) is a regular element by the proof of Theorem 4. If a is not a semihereditary element, then by the equality aR + (a+b)R = R, we have that a+b = er is a semihereditary element, i.e. $e^2 = e$ and $r \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$.

Since (a+b)R + (-b)R = R, the equalities a+b-b(1-e) = a+be = swe provide a necessary representation.

Theorem 6. Let R be a commutative Bezout ring. Then $Q_{CL}(R)$ is a (von Neumann) regular local ring if and only if R is a semihereditary local ring.

Proof. Let aR + bR = R, then $\frac{a}{1}Q_{CL}(R) + \frac{b}{1}Q_{CL}(R) = Q_{CL}(R)$. Since $Q_{CL}(R)$ is (von Neumann) regular local ring, either $\frac{a}{1}$ or $\frac{b}{1}$ is a (von Neumann) regular element. If $\frac{a}{1}$ is a (von Neumann) regular element, then by Proposition 2 we have that $\frac{a}{1} = eu$, where $e^2 = e \in Q_{CL}(R)$ and $u \in U(Q_{CL}(R))$. By Proposition 1, we have that $e \in R$. Then we have a = er, where r is a regular element of R. The case element $\frac{b}{1}$ is a (von Neumann) regular is similar.

Let R be a semihereditary local ring and

$$\frac{a}{s}Q_{CL}(R) + \frac{b}{s}Q_{CL}(R) = Q_{CL}(R),$$

either $\frac{a}{s} \neq 0$ or $\frac{b}{s} \neq 0$. Then au + bv = t for some elements $u, v \in R$ and t is a regular element of R. Since R is a commutative Bezout ring, then aR + bR = dR. Let $a = a_0d$, $b = b_0d$ and ax + by = d for some elements $a_0, b_0, x, y \in R$. By the equality au + bv = t, we have that $d(a_0u + b_0v) = t$. Then d is a regular element as a divisor of t. By the equality ax + by = d, we have $d(a_0x + b_0y - 1) = 0$. Since $d \neq 0$ and d is a regular element, we have $a_0x + b_0y = 1$. Hence $a_0R + b_0R = R$, so a_0 or b_0 is a semihereditary element.

If a_0 is a semihereditary element, by Proposition 3, we have $a_0 = er$, where $e^2 = e$ and r is a regular element of R. Since $a = a_0d = e(rd)$, we have $\frac{a}{s} = e\frac{rd}{s}$. Since $e^2 = e$ and $\frac{rd}{s} \in U(Q_{CL}(R))$, we get that $\frac{a}{s}$ is a (von Neumann) regular element. If b_0 is a (von Neumann) regular element, we have a similar proof. Then $Q_{CL}(R)$) is a (von Neumann) regular local ring.

Definition 6. [3] A commutative ring R is said to be additely regular if for each $a \in R$ and each regular element $b \in R$ there exists an element $u \in R$ such that a + ub is regular in R.

Proposition 13. A commutative Bezout ring of regular range 1 is additively regular. *Proof.* Let R be a commutative Bezout ring of regular range 1 and let a be any element of R and let b be any regular element of R. Since R is a commutative Bezout ring, we have aR + bR = dR, and where au + bv = d, $a = a_0d$, $b = b_0d$ for some element $u, v, a_0, b_0 \in R$. Since b is a regular element of R, we have that d is a regular element of R, since d is a divisor of b.

Since au + bv = d, we have $d(a_0u + b_0v - 1) = 0$. Hence $d \neq 0$ and we obtain that $a_0u + b_0v - 1 = 0$ i.e. $a_0R + b_0R = R$. Thus, R is a ring of regular range 1 and we obtain that $a_0 + b_0t = r$ is a regular element for some $t \in R$. Then a + bt = rd is a regular ring, i.e. R is an additively regular ring.

References

- [1] M. Contessa, On certain classes of PM-rings, Comm. Algebra 12 (1984) 1447–1469.
- [2] I. Gillman, M. Henriksen, Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principal, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 82(2) (1956) 366–391.
- [3] R. Gilmer, J. Huckaba, Δ-Rings, J. Algebra 28 (1974) 414–432.
- [4] I. Kaplansky, Elementary divisors and modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949) 464–491.
- [5] W. McGovern, Neat rings, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 206(2) (2006) 243–258.
- [6] B.V. Zabavsky, Diagonal reduction of matrices over rings (Mathematical Studies, Monograph Series, v. XVI, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 2012).

CONTACT INFORMATION

| B. V. Zabavsky | Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, |
|----------------|---|
| | Ivan Franko National Univ., Lviv, Ukraine |
| | E-Mail(s): zabavskii@gmail.com |

Received by the editors: 10.07.2017.